## **Partnership Meeting**

Supplement to the agenda for

## Wye Catchment Nutrient Management Board

Friday 26 April 2024

2.00 pm

**Conference Room 1 - Herefordshire Council, Plough Lane Offices, Hereford, HR4 0LE** 

2. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

Pages

3 - 4

Questions via the Wye Catchment Partnership meeting on the 17th April 2024

- 1. Why is public money being used to clean up (the polluter pays principle).
- 2. 3D Buffer strips please clarify how this relates to coppiced buffers within catchment.
- 3. Please clarify new money, money that was already available in different schemes (SFI/ELMS/Landscape Recovery).
- 4. Plan is not cross border what efforts were made to get Welsh Government contribution?
- 5. Can the 1m for ADs be used to retrofit existing ADs with P/N separation?
- 6. River Champion how long is the appointment? What was the process to appoint the river champion?
- 7. How does the plan relate to other
- 8. Cit science believe EA to be under resourced can some of this money be used to support the regulators?
- 9. Plan does not contain actions to directly impact the release of nutrients into the river.
- 10. The need for funding for the regulator remains they are charged with developing and delivering the current plan (Nutrient Management Plan/DWPP) and if they had more resources they could do this with more urgency. Can some of the 34m be used to support the regulator?
- 11. Will the mooted plan (catchment plan) address legacy P and direct losses to water?
- 12. What cost benefit analysis was done of the two supported technological solutions? What other options were considered? Has a cost benefit analysis been done of on farm incineration against, say, pyrolysis?
- 13. Why is it felt necessary to fund a pilot project for on farm incineration when we already have the tech up and running in the catchment?
- 14. What controls are going to be in place to monitor emissions to air of incineration?
- 15. Will the finding of incinerators and mini ADs create another problem since neither tech strips P. What monitoring will be in place to ensure P from these sources is used responsibly and/or exported? How will the phenomenon (already seen) of reimportation be countered?
- 16. There will be a need for increased capacity within the LPA to process complex technical applications can some of the funding be used to support that work stream?
- 17. How do we get reassurance that this is not going to enable further expansion of the industry?
- 18. How does this plan relate to the NMP/DWPP/WCPP/RBMP and other existing plans? It was the understanding in the room that the plan will not be a 3<sup>rd</sup> plan (ie: as well as the NMP and WCPP) can this be confirmed?
- 19. A request was made for the MPs to attend the NMB on the 26<sup>th</sup>
- 20. Where does DEFRA's authority and responsibility for the Wye begin and end (what are the limits of devolution)?
- 21. Why does the plan not build on the conclusions of the University of Lancaster Rephokus report and consider ways to reduce livestock/manures/fertiliser to bring the catchment into balance. This would include sheep, pigs and cattle as well as controls on the use of artificial fertiliser and organic manures.
- 22. The government has proposed UK wide soil testing the Wye would be an excellent place to start will this be a consideration?
- 23. Who is going to be on the taskforce (organisations, not individuals)?
- 24. Who wrote the plan? What consultation was undertaken with members of the WCP or NMB to gain a complete understanding of the issues and complexity? Please name individuals, organisations and the nature of their input.

25. Will a change of government impact this plan?